



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/724,969

12/01/2003

Jordi Alborno

POU920030183US1

3005

46429

7590

08/20/2009

CANTOR COLBURN LLP-IBM POUGHKEEPSIE

20 Church Street

22nd Floor

Hartford, CT 06103

EXAMINER

WALSH, JOHN B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2451

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/20/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/724,969	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ALBORNOZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> John B. Walsh	<b>Art Unit</b> 2451	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John B. Walsh. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Brad Lawrence. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mitty et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claim limitation of the state variable being stored at the recipient computing device was discussed in reference to the rejection in view of Mitty et al. The applicant's representative indicated Mitty et al. stores the data at an intermediary, not the recipient. The Examiner agreed and upon a formal response would withdraw this rejection. The allowability of the claims with respect to Reha et al. was not discussed in detail at this time.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John B. Walsh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451	
--	--